



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

NONRATIONAL DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS

Citation for published version:

Cheltsov, I 2008, 'NONRATIONAL DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS', *Advances in Geometry*, vol. 8, pp. 441-450.
<https://doi.org/10.1515/ADVGEOM.2008.028>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1515/ADVGEOM.2008.028](https://doi.org/10.1515/ADVGEOM.2008.028)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Peer reviewed version

Published In:

Advances in Geometry

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



NONRATIONAL DEL PEZZO FIBRATIONS

IVAN CHELTISOV

ABSTRACT. Let X be a general divisor in $|3M + nL|$ on the rational scroll $\text{Proj}(\oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i))$, where d_i and n are integers, M is the tautological line bundle, L is a fibre of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^1 , and $d_1 \geq \dots \geq d_4 = 0$. We prove that X is rational $\iff d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$.

1. INTRODUCTION.

The rationality problem for threefolds¹ splits in three cases: conic bundles, del Pezzo fibrations, and Fano threefolds. The cases of conic bundles and Fano threefolds are well studied.

Let $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be a fibration into del Pezzo surfaces of degree $k \geq 1$ such that X is smooth and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. Then X is rational if $k \geq 5$. The following result is due to [1] and [12].

Theorem 1.1. *Suppose that fibres of ψ are normal and $k = 4$. Then X is rational if and only if*

$$\chi(X) \in \{0, -8, -4\},$$

where $\chi(X)$ is the topological Euler characteristic.

The following result is due to [8].

Theorem 1.2. *Suppose that $K_X^2 \notin \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ and $k \leq 2$. Then X is nonrational.*

In the case when $k \leq 2$ and $K_X^2 \in \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$, the threefold X belongs to finitely many deformation families, whose general members are nonrational (see [13], [4], [6], Proposition 1.5).

Suppose that $k = 3$. Then X is a divisor in the linear system $|3M + nL|$ on the scroll

$$\text{Proj}\left(\oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i)\right),$$

where n and d_i are integers, M is the tautological line bundle, and L is a fibre of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^1 . Suppose that $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3 \geq d_4 = 0$.

Suppose that X is a general² divisor in $|3M + nL|$. The following result is due to [8].

Theorem 1.3. *Suppose that $K_X^2 \notin \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$. Then X is nonrational.*

It follows from [5], [11], [2], [13], [3], [4] that X is nonrational when

$$(d_1, d_2, d_3, n) \in \left\{ (0, 0, 0, 2), (1, 0, 0, 0), (2, 1, 1, -2), (1, 1, 1, -1) \right\}.$$

We prove the following result in Section 3.

Theorem 1.4. *The threefold X is rational $\iff d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$.*

Therefore, the threefold X is nonrational in the case when $\chi(X) \neq -14$. Indeed, we have

$$\chi(X) = -4K_X^3 - 54 = -4\left(18 - 6(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) - 8n\right) - 54 = 18 - 24(d_1 + d_2 + d_3) - 32n,$$

and $\chi(X) = -14$ implies that $(d_1, d_2, d_3, n) = (0, 0, 0, 1)$ or $(d_1, d_2, d_3, n) = (2, 1, 1, -2)$.

The author would like to thank A. Corti, M. Grinenko, V. Iskovskikh, V. Shokurov for fruitful conversations.

¹All varieties are assumed to be projective, normal, and defined over \mathbb{C} .

²A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

The inequality $5n \geq 12 - 3(d_1 + d_2 + d_3)$ holds when $K_X^2 \notin \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$. For $n < 0$, the inequality

$$5n \geq 12 - 3(d_1 + d_2 + d_3)$$

implies that $K_X^2 \notin \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ (see Lemma 36 in [3]). Hence, the threefold X does not belong to finitely many deformation families in the case when $K_X^2 \in \text{Int } \overline{\text{NE}}(X)$ (see Section 2).

Let us illustrate our methods by proving the following result.

Proposition 1.5. *Let X be double cover of the scroll*

$$\text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$$

that is branched over a general³ divisor $D \in |4M - 2L|$, where M is the tautological line bundle, and L is a fibre of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^1 . Then X is nonrational.

Proof. Put $V = \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$. The divisor D is given by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_6 x_1^4 + \alpha_6 x_1^3 x_2 + \alpha_4 x_1^3 x_3 + \alpha_6^2 x_1^2 x_2^2 + \alpha_4 x_1^2 x_2 x_3 + \alpha_2 x_1^2 x_3^2 + \alpha_6^3 x_1 x_2^3 + \\ + \alpha_4 x_1 x_2^2 x_3 + \alpha_2^2 x_1 x_2 x_3^2 + \alpha_0 x_1 x_3^3 + \alpha_6^4 x_2^4 + \alpha_4^3 x_2^3 x_3 + \alpha_2^2 x_2^2 x_3^2 + \alpha_0^2 x_2 x_3^3 = 0 \end{aligned}$$

in bihomogeneous coordinates on V (see §2.2 in [9]), where $\alpha_d^i = \alpha_d^i(t_1, t_2)$ is a sufficiently general homogeneous polynomial of degree $d \geq 0$. Let

$$\chi: Y \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$$

be a double cover branched over a divisor $\Delta \subset V$ that is given by the same bihomogeneous equation as of divisor D with the only exception that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_0^1 = 0$. Then Y is singular, because the divisor Δ is singular along the curve $Y_3 \subset V$ that is given by the equations $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

The Bertini theorem implies the smoothness of Δ outside of the curve Y_3 .

Let C be a curve on the threefold Y such that $\chi(C) = Y_3$. Then the threefold Y has singularities of type $\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{C}$ at general point of the curve C . We may assume that the system

$$\alpha_2(t_1, t_2) = \alpha_2^1(t_1, t_2) = \alpha_2^2(t_1, t_2) = 0$$

has no non-trivial solutions. Then Y has singularities of type $\mathbb{A}_1 \times \mathbb{C}$ at every point of C .

Let $\alpha: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the blow up of Y_3 , and $\beta: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow Y$ be the blow up of C . Then the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{Y} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\chi}} & \tilde{V} \\ \beta \downarrow & & \downarrow \alpha \\ Y & \xrightarrow{\chi} & V \end{array}$$

commutes, where $\tilde{\chi}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \tilde{V}$ is a double cover. The threefold \tilde{Y} is smooth.

Let E be the exceptional divisor of α , and $\tilde{\Delta}$ be the proper transform of Δ via α . Then

$$\tilde{\Delta} \sim \alpha^*(4M - 2L) - 2E,$$

which implies that $\tilde{\Delta}$ is nef and big, because the pencil $|\alpha^*(M - 2L) - E|$ does not have base points. The morphism $\tilde{\chi}$ is branched over $\tilde{\Delta}$. Then $\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{Y}) = 3$ by Theorem 2 in [10].

The linear system $|g^*(M - L) - E|$ does not have base points and gives a \mathbb{P}^1 -bundle

$$\tau: \tilde{V} \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2)) \cong \mathbb{F}_0,$$

which induces a conic bundle $\tilde{\tau} = \tau \circ \tilde{\chi}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_0$.

Let $Y_2 \subset V$ be the subscroll given by $x_1 = 0$, and S be a proper transform of Y_2 via α . Then

$$Y_2 \cong \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(2) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_2,$$

³A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

and $S \cong Y_2$. But τ maps S to the section of \mathbb{F}_0 that has trivial self-intersection.

Let \tilde{S} be a surface in \tilde{Y} such that $\tilde{\chi}(\tilde{S}) = S$, and $Z \subset \tilde{Y}$ be a general fibre of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^1 . Then $-K_Z$ is nef and big and $K_Z^2 = 2$. But the morphism

$$\alpha \circ \tilde{\chi}|_{\tilde{S}}: \tilde{S} \longrightarrow Y_2$$

is a double cover branched over a divisor that is cut out by the equation

$$\alpha_6^4(t_0, t_1)x_2^2 + \alpha_4^3(t_0, t_1)x_2x_3 + \alpha_2^2(t_0, t_1)x_3^2 = 0.$$

Let $\Xi \subset \mathbb{F}_0$ be a degeneration divisor of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}$. Then

$$\Xi \sim \lambda \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) + \mu \tilde{\tau}(Z),$$

where λ and μ are integers. But $\lambda = 6$, because $K_Z^2 = 2$. We have $\tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \not\subset \Xi$. Then

$$\mu = \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \cdot \Xi = 8 - K_{\tilde{S}}^2,$$

because μ is the number of reducible fibres of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}|_{\tilde{S}}$. These fibers are given by

$$\left(\alpha_4^3(t_0, t_1)\right)^2 = 4\alpha_2^2(t_0, t_1)\alpha_6^4(t_0, t_1),$$

which implies that $\mu = \tilde{\tau}(\tilde{S}) \cdot \Xi = 8$. Then \tilde{Y} is nonruled by Theorem 10.2 in [11], which implies the nonrationality of the threefold X by Theorem 1.8.3 in §IV of the book [7]. \square

2. PRELIMINARIES.

All results of this section follow from [3]. Take a scroll

$$V = \text{Proj}\left(\oplus_{i=1}^4 \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_i)\right),$$

where d_i is an integer, and $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq d_3 \geq d_4 = 0$. Let M and L be the tautological line bundle and a fibre of the natural projection to \mathbb{P}^1 , respectively. Then $\text{Pic}(V) = \mathbb{Z}M \oplus \mathbb{Z}L$.

Let $(t_1 : t_2; x_1 : x_2 : x_3 : x_4)$ be bihomogeneous coordinates on V such that $x_i = 0$ defines a divisor in $|M - d_i L|$, and L is given by $t_1 = 0$. Then $|aM + bL|$ is spanned by divisors

$$c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}(t_1, t_2) x_1^{i_1} x_2^{i_2} x_3^{i_3} x_4^{i_4} = 0,$$

where $\sum_{j=1}^4 i_j = a$ and $c_{i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4}(t_1, t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $b + \sum_{j=1}^4 i_j d_j$.

Let $Y_j \subseteq V$ be a subscroll $x_1 = \dots = x_{j-1} = 0$. The following result holds (see §2.8 in [9]).

Corollary 2.1. *Take $D \in |aM + bL|$ and $q \in \mathbb{N}$, where a and b are integers. Then*

$$\text{mult}_{Y_j}(D) \geq q \iff ad_j + b + (d_1 - d_j)(q - 1) < 0.$$

Let X be a general⁴ divisor in $|3M + nL|$, where n is an integer.

Lemma 2.2. *Suppose X is smooth and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. Then $d_1 \geq -n$ and $3d_3 \geq -n$.*

Proof. We see that $Y_2 \not\subset X$. Then $Y_3 \not\subset X$, because $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. But $\text{mult}_{Y_4}(X) \leq 1$, because the threefold X is smooth. The assertion of Corollary 2.1 concludes the proof. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Suppose X is smooth and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. Then either $d_1 = -n$ or $d_2 \geq -n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $r = d_1 + n > 0$ and $d_2 < -n$. Then X can be given by the equation

$$\sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=2}} \gamma_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4 = \alpha_r(t_1, t_2) x_1 x_4^2 + \sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=3}} \beta_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k,$$

⁴A complement to a Zariski closed subset in moduli.

where $\alpha_r(t_1, t_2)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree r , β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + id_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$. Then every point of the intersection

$$x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = \alpha_r(t_1, t_2) = 0$$

must be singular on the threefold X , which is a contradiction. \square

Lemma 2.4. *Suppose X is smooth, $d_2 = d_3$, $n < 0$ and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. Then $3d_3 \neq -n$.*

Proof. Suppose that $3d_3 = -n$. Then X can be given by the bihomogeneous equation

$$\sum_{\substack{j, k, l \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=2}} \gamma_{jkl}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4^l = f_3(x_2, x_3) + \alpha_r(t_0, t_2) x_1^3 + \sum_{\substack{j, k, l \geq 0 \\ j+k+l=1}} \beta_{jkl}(t_0, t_2) x_1^2 x_2^j x_3^k x_4^l,$$

where $f_3(x_2, x_3)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree 3, β_{jkl} and γ_{jkl} are homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + 2d_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$ and $n + d_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$ respectively, α_r is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $r = 3d_1 + n$. The threefold X contains 3 subscrolls given by the equations

$$x_1 = f_3(x_2, x_3) = 0,$$

which is impossible, because $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$. \square

The following result follows from Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Lemma 2.5. *The threefold X is smooth and $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$ whenever*

- (1) *in the case when $d_1 = 0$, the inequality $n > 0$ holds,*
- (2) *either $d_1 = -n$ and $3d_3 \geq -n$, or $d_1 > -n$, $d_2 \geq -n$ and $3d_3 \geq -n$,*
- (3) *in the case when $d_2 = d_3$ and $n < 0$, the inequality $3d_3 > -n$ holds.*

Proof. Suppose that all these conditions are satisfied. We must show that X is smooth, because the equality $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$ holds by Proposition 32 in [3].

The linear system $|3M + nL|$ does not have base points if $n \geq 0$. So, the threefold X is smooth by the Bertini theorem in the case $n \geq 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $n < 0$.

The base locus of $|3M + nL|$ consists of the curve Y_4 , which implies that X is smooth outside of the curve Y_4 and in a general point of Y_4 by the Bertini theorem and Corollary 2.1, respectively.

In the case when $d_1 = -n$ and $d_2 < -n$, the bihomogeneous equation of the threefold X is

$$\sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=2}} \gamma_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4 = \alpha_0 x_1 x_4^2 + \sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=3}} \beta_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k,$$

where β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomials of degree $n + id_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$ and α_0 is a nonzero constant. The curve Y_4 is given by $x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0$, which implies that X is smooth.

In the case when $d_1 > -n$ and $d_2 \geq -n$, the bihomogeneous equation of X is

$$\sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=2}} \gamma_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4 = \sum_{i=1}^3 \alpha_i(t_0, t_2) x_i x_4^2 + \sum_{\substack{i, j, k \geq 0 \\ i+j+k=3}} \beta_{ijk}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k,$$

where α_i is a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d_i + n$, and β_{ijk} and γ_{ijk} are homogeneous polynomials of degree $n + id_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$. Therefore, either $\alpha_1 x_1 x_4^2$ or $\alpha_2 x_2 x_4^2$ does not vanish at any given point of the curve Y_4 , which implies that X is smooth. \square

Thus, there is an infinite series of quadruples (d_1, d_2, d_3, n) such that the threefold X is smooth, the equality $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$ holds, the inequality $5n < 12 - 3(d_1 + d_2 + d_3)$ holds and $n < 0$.

3. NONRATIONALITY.

We use the notation of Section 2. Let X be a general⁵ divisor in $|3M + nL|$, and suppose that the threefold X is smooth, $\text{rk Pic}(X) = 2$, and X is rational. Let us show that $d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$.

The threefold X is given by a bihomogeneous equation

$$\sum_{l=0}^3 \alpha_l(t_0, t_2) x_3^l x_4^{3-l} + x_1 F(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + x_2 G(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0,$$

where α_i is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + id_3$, and F and G stand for

$$\sum_{\substack{i, j, k, l \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+l=2}} \beta_{ijkl}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4^l \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{i, j, k, l \geq 0 \\ i+j+k+l=2}} \gamma_{ijkl}(t_0, t_2) x_1^i x_2^j x_3^k x_4^l$$

respectively, where β_{ijkl} is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + (i+1)d_1 + jd_2 + kd_3$, and γ_{ijkl} is a general homogeneous polynomial of degree $n + id_1 + (j+1)d_2 + kd_3$.

Let Y be a threefold given by $x_1 F + x_2 G = 0$. Then $Y_3 \subset Y$, where Y_3 is given by $x_1 = x_2 = 0$.

Lemma 3.1. *The threefold Y has $2d_1 + 2d_2 + 4d_3 + 4n > 0$ isolated ordinary double points.*

Proof. The threefold Y is singular exactly at the points of V where

$$x_1 = x_2 = F(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = G(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$$

by the Bertini theorem. But $Y_3 \cong \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}) \cong \mathbb{F}_{d_3}$, where $(t_0 : t_1; x_3 : x_4)$ can be considered as natural bihomogeneous coordinates on the surface Y_3 .

Let C and Z be the curves on Y_3 that are cut out by the equations $F = 0$ and $G = 0$, respectively. Then C and Z are given by the equations

$$\sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 0 \\ k+l=2}} \beta_{kl}(t_0, t_2) x_3^k x_4^l = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{\substack{k, l \geq 0 \\ k+l=2}} \gamma_{kl}(t_0, t_2) x_3^k x_4^l = 0$$

respectively, where $\beta_{kl} = \beta_{00kl}$ and $\gamma_{kl} = \gamma_{00kl}$.

The degrees of β_{kl} and γ_{kl} are $n + d_1 + kd_3$ and $n + d_2 + kd_3$, respectively.

Let O be a point of the scroll V such that the set

$$x_1 = x_2 = F(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = G(t_0, t_1, x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = 0$$

contains the point O . Then $O \in C \cap Z$ and $O \in \text{Sing}(Y)$.

It is easy to see that O is an isolated ordinary double point of the threefold Y in the case when the curves C and Z are smooth and intersect each other transversally at the point O .

Put $\bar{M} = M|_{Y_3}$ and $\bar{L} = L|_{Y_3}$. Then $C \in |2\bar{M} + (n + d_1)\bar{L}|$ and $Z \in |2\bar{M} + (n + d_2)\bar{L}|$. But

$$|2\bar{M} + (n + d_1)\bar{L}|$$

does not have base points, because $d_1 + n \geq 0$ by Lemma 2.2. So, the curve C is smooth.

The linear system $|2\bar{M} + (n + d_2)\bar{L}|$ may have base components, and Z may not be reduced or irreducible. We have to show that C intersects Z transversally at smooth points of Z , because

$$|C \cap Z| = C \cdot Z = 2d_1 + 2d_2 + 4d_3 + 4n,$$

where $2d_1 + 2d_2 + 4d_3 + 4n > 0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4.

Suppose that $d_1 > -n$. Then $d_2 \geq -n$ by Lemma 2.3. We see that $|2\bar{M} + (n + d_2)\bar{L}|$ does not have base points. Then Z is smooth and C intersects Z transversally at every point of $C \cap Z$.

We may assume that $d_1 = -n$. Let $Y_4 \subset Y_3$ be a curve given by $x_3 = 0$. Then

$$C \cap Y_4 = \emptyset,$$

⁵A complement to a countable union of Zariski closed subsets.

and either the linear system $|2\bar{M} + (n + d_2)\bar{L}|$ does not have base points, or the base locus of the linear system $|2\bar{M} + (n + d_2)\bar{L}|$ consist of the curve Y_4 . However, we have

$$C \cap Z \subset Y_3 \setminus Y_4,$$

which implies that C intersects the curve Z transversally at smooth points of Z . \square

Let $\pi: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the blow up of Y_3 , and \tilde{Y} be and the proper transforms of Y via π . Then

$$\tilde{Y} \sim \pi^*(3M + nL) - E,$$

where E is and exceptional divisor of π . The threefold \tilde{Y} is smooth.

Lemma 3.2. *The equality $\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{Y}) = 3$ holds.*

Proof. The linear system $|\pi^*(M - d_2L) - E|$ does not have base points. Thus, the divisor

$$\tilde{Y} \sim \pi^*(3M + nL) - E$$

is nef and big when $n \geq 0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4. Hence, the equality $\text{rk Pic}(\tilde{Y}) = 3$ holds in the case when $n \geq 0$ by Theorem 2 in [10]. So, we may assume that $n < 0$.

Let $\omega: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ be the natural projection and S be the generic fibre of ω , which is considered as a surface defined over the function field $\mathbb{C}(t)$. Then S is a smooth cubic surface in \mathbb{P}^3 , which contains a line in \mathbb{P}^3 defined over the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$, because $Y_3 \subset Y$. Then $\text{rk Pic}(S) \geq 2$.

To conclude the proof we must prove that $\text{rk Pic}(S) = 2$, because there is an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}[\pi^*(L)] \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(\tilde{Y}) \longrightarrow \text{Pic}(S) \longrightarrow 0,$$

because every fibre of τ is reduced and irreducible (see the proof of Proposition 32 in [3]).

Let \check{S} be an example of the surface S that is given by the equation

$$x(q(t)x^2 + p(t)w^2) + y(r(t)y^2 + s(t)z^2) = 0 \subset \text{Proj}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, z, t]),$$

where $q(t)$, $p(t)$, $r(t)$, $s(t)$ are polynomials such that the inequalities

$$\deg(q(t)) > 0, \deg(p(t)) \geq 0, \deg(r(t)) > 0, \deg(s(t)) \geq 0$$

hold. The existence of the surface \check{S} follows from the equation of the threefold Y .

Let \mathbb{K} be an algebraic closure of the field $\mathbb{C}(t)$, let L be a line $x = y = 0$, and let

$$\gamma: \check{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$$

be a projection from L . Then γ is a conic bundle defined over $\mathbb{C}(t)$. But γ has five geometrically reducible fibres F_1, F_2, F_3, F_4, F_5 defined over \mathbb{F} such that

- $F_i = \tilde{F}_i \cup \bar{F}_i$, where \tilde{F}_i and \bar{F}_i are geometrically irreducible curves,
- the curve $L \cup F_i$ is cut out on the surface \check{S} by the equation

$$y = \epsilon^i \sqrt[3]{\frac{q(t)}{r(t)}} x,$$

where $\epsilon = -(1 + \sqrt{-3})/2$ and $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$,

- the curve $F_4 \cup L$ is cut out on the surface \check{S} by the equation $x = 0$,
- the curve $F_5 \cup L$ is cut out on the surface \check{S} by the equation $y = 0$.

The group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ naturally acts on the set

$$\Sigma = \{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \tilde{F}_3, \tilde{F}_4, \tilde{F}_5, \bar{F}_1, \bar{F}_2, \bar{F}_3, \bar{F}_4, \bar{F}_5\},$$

because the conic bundle γ is defined over $\mathbb{C}(t)$. The inequality $\text{rk Pic}(\check{S}) > 2$ implies the existence of a subset $\Gamma \subsetneq \Sigma$ consisting of disjoint curves such that $\Gamma \subsetneq \Sigma$ is $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ -invariant.

The action of $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ on the set Σ is easy to calculate explicitly. Putting

$$\Delta = \{\tilde{F}_1, \tilde{F}_2, \tilde{F}_3, \bar{F}_1, \bar{F}_2, \bar{F}_3\}, \quad \Lambda = \{\tilde{F}_4, \bar{F}_4\}, \quad \Xi = \{\tilde{F}_5, \bar{F}_5\},$$

we see that the group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{K}/\mathbb{C}(t))$ acts transitively on each subset Λ, Ξ, Δ , because we may assume that $q(t), p(t), r(t), s(t)$ are sufficiently general. But each subset Λ, Ξ, Δ does not consist of disjoint curves. Hence, the equality $\text{rk Pic}(\check{S}) = 2$ holds, which implies that $\text{rk Pic}(S) = 2$. \square

The linear system $|\pi^*(M - d_2L) - E|$ does not have base points and induces a \mathbb{P}^2 -bundle

$$\tau: \tilde{V} \longrightarrow \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_2)) \cong \mathbb{F}_r,$$

where $r = d_1 - d_2$. Let l be a fibre of the natural projection $\mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$, and s_0 be an irreducible curve on the surface \mathbb{F}_r such that $s_0^2 = r$, and s_0 is a section of the projection $\mathbb{F}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$\pi^*(M - d_2L) - E \sim \tau^*(s_0)$$

and $\pi^*(L) \sim \tau^*(l)$. The morphism τ induces a conic bundle $\tilde{\tau} = \tau|_{\tilde{Y}}: \tilde{Y} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_r$.

Let Δ be the degeneration divisor of the conic bundle $\tilde{\tau}$. Then

$$\Delta \sim 5s_\infty + \mu l,$$

where μ is a natural number, and s_∞ is the exceptional section of the surface \mathbb{F}_r .

Let S be a surface in \tilde{Y} and B be a threefold in \tilde{V} dominating the curve s_0 . Then

$$B \cong \text{Proj}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_1) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(d_3) \oplus \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1})$$

and $\pi(B) \cong B$. But $\pi(B) \cap Y = \pi(S) \cup Y_3$.

The surface Y_3 is cut out on $\pi(B)$ by the equation $x_1 = 0$, where $\pi(B) \in |M - d_2L|$. We have

$$S \sim 2T + (d_1 + n)F,$$

where T is a tautological line bundle on B , and F is a fibre of the projection $B \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Then

$$K_S^2 = -5d_1 + 2d_3 - 4d_2 - 3n + 8$$

and $\mu = s_0 \cdot \Delta = 5d_1 - 2d_3 + 4d_2 + 3n$.

It follows from the equivalence $2K_{\mathbb{F}_r} + \Delta \sim s_\infty + (3d_1 - 2d_3 + 6d_2 + 3n - 4)l$ that

$$|2K_{\mathbb{F}_r} + \Delta| \neq \emptyset \iff 3d_1 - 2d_3 + 6d_2 + 3n \geq 4,$$

which implies that Y is nonrational by Theorem 10.2 in [11] if $3d_1 - 2d_3 + 6d_2 + 3n \geq 4$.

The threefold Y is nonruled if and only if it is nonrational, because the threefold Y is rationally connected. So, the threefold X is nonrational by Theorem 1.8.3 in §IV of the book [7] whenever

$$3d_1 - 2d_3 + 6d_2 + 3n \geq 4,$$

which implies that $3d_1 - 2d_3 + 6d_2 + 3n < 4$, because we assume that X is rational.

We see that either $d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$ or $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = n = 0$ by Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, but the threefold X is birational to a smooth cubic threefold in the case when $d_1 = 1$ and $d_2 = n = 0$, which is nonrational by [5]. Then $d_1 = 0$ and $n = 1$. The assertion of Theorem 1.4 is proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] V. Alexeev, *On rationality conditions for threefolds with a bundle of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4* *Matematicheskie Zametki* **41** (1987), 724–730
- [2] F. Bardelli, *Polarized mixed Hodge structures: on irrationality of threefolds via degeneration* *Annali di Matematica Pura ed Applicata* **137** (1984), 287–369
- [3] G. Brown, A. Corti, F. Zucconi, *Birational geometry of 3-fold Mori fibre spaces* *Proceedings of the Fano Conference, 29 September — 5 October 2002, Torino, Italy* (2004), 235–275
- [4] I. Cheltsov, V. Prjalkovski, C. Shramov, *Hyperelliptic and trigonal Fano threefolds* *Izvestia: Mathematics* **69** (2005), 365–421

- [5] H. Clemens, P. Griffiths, *The intermediate Jacobian of the cubic threefold*
Annals of Mathematics **95** (1972), 73–100
- [6] M. Grinenko, *Del Pezzo fibrations*
Russian Mathematical Surveys, to appear
- [7] J. Kollár, *Rational curves on algebraic varieties*
Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1996)
- [8] A. Pukhlikov, *Birational automorphisms of three-dimensional algebraic varieties with a pencil of del Pezzo surfaces*
Izvestia: Mathematics **62** (1998), 115–155
- [9] M. Reid, *Chapters on algebraic surfaces*
Lecture notes from a summer program held in Park City (Utah) in 1993 (1997), 5–159
- [10] G. Ravindra, V. Srinivas, *The Grothendieck–Lefschetz theorem for normal projective varieties*
Journal of Algebraic Geometry, to appear
- [11] V. Shokurov, *Prym varieties: theory and applications*
Mathematics of the USSR-Izvestia **23** (1984), 93–147
- [12] K. Shramov, *On the rationality of nonsingular threefolds with a pencil of del Pezzo surfaces of degree 4*
Sbornik: Mathematics, **197** 5 (2006), 133–144
- [13] C. Voisin, *Sur la jacobienne intermediaire du double solide d’indice deux*
Duke Mathematical Journal **57** (1988), 629–646